# Homework 6

## MATH 281A

### November 17, 2014

#### Exercise 3.18.

We use Method I to derive the contradiction. Pay special attention to the constant coefficient in both side of the polynomials.

#### Exercise 3.19.

This is a tricky one. Pay attention that the summation of the two truncated Poisson variables is NOT a truncated Poisson with parameter as the summation of the two parameters.

To attack the problem, go through these steps. We set n = 1. First calculate the mean of the truncated Poisson. One easy way to do this is using

$$\theta = (1 - \mathbb{P}(\tilde{X} = 0)) \mathbb{E}(X)$$

where X follows truncated Poisson and  $\tilde{X}$  follows Poisson with the same distribution  $\theta$ . (Why we have this equation? Try to derive it.)

You may find that this equation does not really solve the question since  $\mathbb{E}(X)$  is not a linear function of  $\theta$ . One more ingredient is to consider  $\mathbb{P}(X = 1)$  by using the following

$$\mathbb{P}(X=1) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(\tilde{X}=1)}{1 - \mathbb{P}(\tilde{X}=0)}$$

where the setting is the same as before.

Finally try to construct  $\theta$  using  $\mathbb{E}(X)$  and  $\mathbb{P}(X=1)$ . What is the statistic finally?

For n = 2, by a simple exponential family observation we can find sufficient and complete statistic as  $X_1 + X_2$ . Then suppose the UMVUE in part (a) is  $T(X_1)$ , we just need

$$\mathbb{E}(T(X_1)|X_1 + X_2)$$

You will encounter several problems when doing this Rao-Blackwellization stuff. If you get stuck, try augmenting the variable into full Poisson, then get the result and deduct the case when zero happens.

Good luck...

#### Exercise 20(C).

Direct calculation may yield the desired result. You only need to verify the C-R bound.

#### Exercise 2.1.

Follow the hint and you will be OK. Notice that  $\sigma^2$  is a constant.

#### Exercise 2.5.

Use the standard move to show that the statistic is unbiased and based on the sufficient and complete statistic.

#### Exercise 2.9.

All you need to do is to show X sufficient and complete...

#### Exercise 2.15.

We may have done this question before.

#### Exercise 2.25.

This one is also not easy. First exhibit that  $(X_{(n)}, Y_{(n)})$  is complete and sufficient (Easy). And intuitively we may look at statistic  $X_{(n)}/Y_{(n)}$ . To calculate the expectation of the statistic, the following lemma may be helpful.

For some nonnegative continuous random variable X, we have

$$\mathbb{E}(X) = \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}(X > t) dt$$

#### Exercise 2.27.

Once we have (a), then (b) is obvious since  $MSE = bias^2 + Variance$  and the variance of MLE is clearly smaller by the hint.

For (a), note that  $\xi = u$  is equivalent to the fact that  $p = \Phi(\xi - u) = 0.5$ . Then we need to show that  $\mathbb{E} \Phi(u - \bar{X}) = 0.5$ . In fact you just need to show that  $\Phi(u - \bar{X})$  follows uniform distribution on (0, 1).